

Smithsonian Institution Anacostia Community Museum Archives

Douglass' Monthly, November 1860, Vol. III, NO. VI

Extracted on Apr-17-2024 10:18:38

The Smithsonian Institution thanks all digital volunteers that transcribed and reviewed this material. Your work enriches Smithsonian collections, making them available to anyone with an interest in using them.

The Smithsonian Institution (the "Smithsonian") provides the content on this website (transcription.si.edu), other Smithsonian websites, and third-party sites on which it maintains a presence ("SI Websites") in support of its mission for the "increase and diffusion of knowledge." The Smithsonian invites visitors to use its online content for personal, educational and other non-commercial purposes. By using this website, you accept and agree to abide by the following terms.

- If sharing the material in personal and educational contexts, please cite the Anacostia Community Museum Archives as source of the content and the project title as provided at the top of the document. Include the accession number or collection name; when possible, link to the Anacostia Community Museum Archives website.
- If you wish to use this material in a for-profit publication, exhibition, or online project, please contact Anacostia Community Museum Archives or transcribe@si.edu

For more information on this project and related material, contact the Anacostia Community Museum Archives. See this project and other collections in the Smithsonian Transcription Center.

358 [[centered]] DOUGLASS' MONTHLY. [[/centered]] NOVEMBER, 1860.

[[horizontal line]]

[[three columns]

İİcolumn one]]

disciples all fled, but truth survived nevertheless. It is better to do 'nothing,' than to do wrong, and the man who maintains his integrity, does more than he who 'votes for a [[italics]] partial good.' [[/italics]] There are times in the world's history in which men are commanded to 'STAND STILL and see the salvation of God.' [[right justified]] J. C. H. [[/right justified]]

[[horizontal line]]

[[centered]] [[italics]] REPLY TO C. A. H. [[/italics]] [[/centered]]

MR. EDITOR: -- I have no desire to prolong the discussion which has sprung up in your columns; but as Mr. Charles A. Hammond might feel badly treated if I did not notice his sententious charges of sophistry made against me, and made with such a refreshing air of 'finality,' I have decided to say a few words which shall conclude this discussion on my part.

It is perhaps quite natural that Mr. Hammond should perceive some difficulty in the way of answering my arguments; but the cool impudence of giving the authority of his distinguished friend for saying that 'no man could answer and expose the tissue of so phistries contained in that article, better than the author of it,' is guite refreshing. This habit of borrowing insulting remarks from others, when your own supply of that sort of literature is exhausted, with which to meet the arguments of an opponent, may prove that a man is a Radical, but will scarcely suffice to elucidate the subject in debate.

Mr. Hammond's first charge upon my article is as follows:

'Perhaps the most dangerous, because the most ensnaring, is the assumption that in voting for Abraham Lincoln, Abolitionists simply aid the Republicans in limiting slavery, without being in any manner responsible for the WRONG THINGS which the candidate or the party may do.'

Now, if my reviewer had only seen fit to come down to plain [[italics]] fact, [[/italics]] and stated where and when I ever put forth any such doctrine as the above, it would have aided plain common sense people to determine as to the soundness of my position. But as he does not do this, and as no such position was ever taken by me, it will be necessary to state the substance of what I did say on that point. My article did not concede that the Republican party proposed to do any 'wrong,' but that it proposes to do much good, but not all the good which the Radicals ask to have done. -- In doing good, it proposes to go to the verge of its constitutional power of political action, and then to bring to bear its [[italics]] moral power [[/italics]] against slavery even beyond the limits of its constitutional political action. It does not propose to create or support slavery in the States, but to let it alone politically, because compelled so to do by its understanding of the Constitution. Most men of Mr. Hammond's age will be able to see the difference between this position and that of proposing to do a positive wrong. I am morally bound to use my influence against profane swearing. I have a right to [[italics]] enforce [[/italics]] the abstaining from oaths in my own family; and when I have done that, and used my [[italics]] moral [[/italics]] influence against the habit in my neighbor's family, if Mr. Hammond should charge me with supporting all the swearing in the neighborhood, because I did not administer [[italics]] birch [[/italics]] discipline to my neighbor's boys, and I should reply, I do all I can by authority at home and by moral influence abroad, to correct this bad practice; but I have no legal right to set up

<page-header><page-header><page-header><page-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

authority in the case beyond my own family [[/column one]]

[[column two]]

circle --- if he could not see the logical difference between that position and the position of sanctioning the 'wrong' of swearing, or doing that wrong myself, I should regard his radicalism as a little too much sublimated to to [[sic]] be reasoned with. He reminds me of the M. D. who was called to see a patient, and after feeling his pulse, and walking around his bed for some time, he confessed that he did not understand the case or know where the disease was located; 'but,' said he, 'I'll tell you what it is. I'll give him a dose that will throw him into [[italics]] fits, [[/italics]] and then I can cure him -- for [[italics]] I'm death on fits.' [[/italics]] So Mr. Hammond seems to have been unable to find the seat of the difficulty in my [[italics]] real [[/italics]] position, and resorts to misrepresentation to throw that position 'into fits,' and then shows that he is 'death on fits.'

Mr. Hammond's next 'lunge' is to charge Mr. Lincoln with being a 'slave-catching' President, in the event of his election. That Mr. Lincoln has said that he was not pledged to the 'UNCONDITIONAL' repeal of the Fugitive Slave Law, is true; but that it is to be inferred from this that he would be a slave-catching President, is 'a most lame and impotent conclusion.' I am not in favor of the 'UNCONDITIONAL' repeal of the present liquor law; but I consider it a very shabby law nevertheless. I understand Mr. Lincoln to be in favor of a law that shall leave this question of returning fugitive slaves or not to the States into which the fugitive may escape; and I believe that such a disposition of the fugitive question would be eminently safe for the slave. Republicans never did 'seize and restore fugitives to slavery;' but on the contrary, have often rescued them from the grip of the slave-catcher. Those who rescued Jerry were, a large majority of them, Republicans. The Oberlin rescuers were all Republicans, and were backed up by the Republican party of Ohio, with Mr. Giddings at its head. The rescuers of Nalle were Republicans, and the only places in all the North where a fugitive could be 'seized and taken back to slavery,' are the few cottonized cities where the Republicans are in a minority. These facts are worth infinitely more than all the extracts from stump speeches made under the screens of a local political exigency ever delivered, as evidence of the real position of the Republicans on slave-catching. They are not quite so brave as the Radicals in [[italics]] resolutions [[/italics]]; but in the Jerry case they ACTED, while the Radicals were in that secret meeting laying plans, and the Free Soil shout, as Jerry was brought forth, broke up the plan-laying meeting of the Radicals. Mr. Hammond says Mr. Lincoln would suppress a slave insurrection,

Mr. Hammond says Mr. Lincoln would suppress a slave insurrection, and that Mr. Smith would interfere to help the slaves. Mr. H. must have been too far from Peterboro' for consultation, where he wrote that sentence. -- Mr. Smith commenced a libel suit a few months ago against a committee who charged him with favoring a slave insurrection, laying his damages at \$50,000! Mr. Hammond must have received some new light recently, or else he has no authority to say that Mr. Smith would help the slaves in such an insurrection. Mr. Smith charges that this statement is a libel when printed in the New York [[italics]] Herald; [[/italics]] and if it be a libel to say he favors an insurrection, who has a right to say that he would take sides with the insurrectionists? [[/column two]]

[[column three]]

Mr. Hammond says Mr. Lincoln would receive new slave States. Mr. Lincoln himself, speaking on that point, says: 'We (the Republicans) insist on the policy that shall restrict it (slavery) to its present limits.' He

says also in his debate with Douglas, 'I am impliedly, if not expressly pledged to a belief in the [[italics]] right [[/italics]] and the [[italics]] duty [[/italics]] of Congress to prohibit slavery in all the United States Territories.' If this is not a pledge to oppose the admission of new slave States, what would satisfy C. A. H. on that point? Does he like the position of his own candidate better, as it is defined in his speech in Congress, June 27, 1854, where he says:

'Let Cuba come to us if she wishes to come. She belongs to us by force of her geographical position. Let her come even if she shall not abolish her slavery. I am willing to risk the subjection of her slavery to a common fate with our own. Slavery must be short lived in this land.'

Now I do not like to call this 'fillibustering' after new slave territory, but I think it quite proper mildly to limit to the friends of a candidate who stands on the record thus, that they should be a little modest about charging Mr. Lincoln with being in favor of admitting new slave States. Rather too much glass in the roof of the political house which now shelters you, friend Hammond, for it to be very safe or judicious for you to throw stones! It would be very unjust to call Mr. Smith a 'tool of the slave power,' for uttering the above sentiment, although it commits him to the most odious and ultra measure put forth by the slave power during the last ten years. And I suggest to Mr. C. A. H. that he should be a little modest in applying such language to Mr. Lincoln, especially while Mr. Lincoln is opposed to the acquisition of more slave territory, and his candidate Mr. Smith seeks such addition.

Mr. Hammond winds up his communication by the authoritative declaration that my 'illustrations are wide of the mark!' The [[italics]] ipsi dixit [[/italics]] of Mr. Hammond must be conclusive, and so the discussion may be brought to a conclusion. A. PRYNE

[[3/4 column horizontal line]] [[centered]] [[bold]] HAYTI AND COLORED EMIGRATION. [[/bold]] [[1/10 column horizontal dash]] [[italics]] Translated for Douglass' Monthly from the Haytian Moniteur, official organ of Geffrard's Government, by [[/italics]] JAMES REDPATH. [[1/10 column horizontal dash]] AN IMPORTANT DOCUMENT. [[1/10 column horizontal dash]] OFFICE OF THE SEC'Y OF STATE OF THE INTERIOR AND AGRICULTURE, SECTION OF THE INTERIOR. [[italics]]REPORT. [[/centered]] To his Excellency the President of Hayti. [[/italics]] DEFENT: Lenging the time has some to submit to usure

PRESIDENT: -- I believe the time has come to submit to your Excellency the result of labors undertaken by your order on the question of Emigration into our country of men of our race. After having examined, under different points of view, this important subject, it is time to substitute action for preliminary studies, and the more that definitive questions are now proposed to the Government of the Republic. Men who have appreciated the riches of our soil, the mildness of our national manners, the workings of our institutions, the good intention of your Excellency, desire to put their hand to the work. Direct propositions have been addressed to us; demands for information have been made of us; time presses; they ought to be replied to.

of us; time presses; they ought to be replied to. On the other hand, we ought to state that in all that portion of our hemisphere, which extends from the rivers St. Lawrence to Orinoco, a work of expulsion is in progress, to [[/column three]] Douglass' Monthly, November 1860, Vol. III, N0. VI Transcribed and Reviewed by Digital Volunteers Extracted Apr-17-2024 10:18:38



Smithsonian Institution

Anacostia Community Museum Archives

The mission of the Smithsonian is the increase and diffusion of knowledge - shaping the future by preserving our heritage, discovering new knowledge, and sharing our resources with the world. Founded in 1846, the Smithsonian is the world's largest museum and research complex, consisting of 19 museums and galleries, the National Zoological Park, and nine research facilities.Become an active part of our mission through the Transcription Center. Together, we are discovering secrets hidden deep inside our collections that illuminate our history and our world.

Join us! The Transcription Center: https://transcription.si.edu On Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/SmithsonianTranscriptionCenter On Twitter: @TranscribeSI

Connect with the Smithsonian Smithsonian Institution: www.si.edu On Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/Smithsonian On Twitter: @smithsonian