

Jacques Seligmann & Co. records, General Correspondence: Cassel van Doorn, Baron, 1944-1950

Extracted on Apr-19-2024 12:11:53

The Smithsonian Institution thanks all digital volunteers that transcribed and reviewed this material. Your work enriches Smithsonian collections, making them available to anyone with an interest in using them.

The Smithsonian Institution (the "Smithsonian") provides the content on this website (transcription.si.edu), other Smithsonian websites, and third-party sites on which it maintains a presence ("SI Websites") in support of its mission for the "increase and diffusion of knowledge." The Smithsonian invites visitors to use its online content for personal, educational and other non-commercial purposes. By using this website, you accept and agree to abide by the following terms.

- If sharing the material in personal and educational contexts, please cite the Archives of American Art as source of the content and the project title as provided at the top of the document. Include the accession number or collection name; when possible, link to the Archives of American Art website.
- If you wish to use this material in a for-profit publication, exhibition, or online project, please contact Archives of American Art or transcribe@si.edu

For more information on this project and related material, contact the Archives of American Art. See this project and other collections in the Smithsonian Transcription Center.

In effect you telegraphed me that you earned less than \$300. on this transaction which was incomprehensible to me until the day you told me that instead of remitting \$6,000. to the proprietor of this tapestry, you had paid him "partly in merchandise" on which you had a loss of some \$10. or some tens of dollars.

Without going into the fiscal advantages of bookkeeping losses, or into the advantages to be derived sometimes from disposing of unsaleable in spite of an amortized cost price, it appears that by this procedure your firm failed in its duties as agent which in our countries is of exceptional seriousness.

In effect, its mission was to buy for my account the tapestry in question from its proprietor or proprietors, and in remuneration for its agency, would receive 5% of the agreed commission, that is 5% of the purchase price.

Instead of loyally executing this commission, your firm made certain arrangements with the seller, by your own admission, so that all or part of the \$6,000. remained in your hands.

It is evident that this transaction resulted in a hidden profit without which it would not have been undertaken, or if not a profit, at least certain advantages.

In my capacity as principal, I have the right to insist that you render me a complete accounting with supportint proof of the execution of the order which I had given you and which you had accepted in consideration of the agreed remuneration.

This being the case, I ask you for the last time to give me an integral accounting complete and without evasions, of your acts as agents, and always basing myself on your telegram of August 10th, 1943, to let me know the name of the proprietor of the tapestry which to this writing you refused to do.

I refer to my registered letter of August 16th, 1943 followed by my letter of August 24th to which I received an answer on September 7th containing my checks and an invoice which made it appear that I bought the tapestry from your firm on that date.

This procedure, in extremis, did not mislead me because the firm of lawyers to whose order I had made out the principal check of \$6,000. which you returned to me, was the one which you indicated to me as being the counsels of the sellers, entrusted by the latter to negotiate the sale of this tapestry if I did not want to keep it myself.

The checks which I sent you have been at your disposal in my files with certain reservations concerning the smaller one, since your hidden profits in view of the way you handled this transaction, exceeded \$300.

I have been told that there existed in France courts whose legal power exceeded the ones of those which reviewed simple commercial disputes. I do not for the moment know whether this is also the case

In effect you telegraphed me that you earned less than \$500, on this transmotion which was incomprehensible to me until the day you teld me that instead of resisting \$8,000, to the proprietor of this tapestry, you had said his "partly is merchandise" on wich you had a loss of some lib. or some tens of delibers.

Fithout going into the fiscal advantages of bookkeeping bases, or into the advantages to be derived scortines from disposing of unsalesble in spite of an amortized seet price, it appears that by this procedure your firm failed in its dation as agent which in our countries is of exceptional serfourness.

In effect, its mission was to buy for my account the tapestry in question from its proprietors or proprietors, and in remmeration for its agency, would receive it of the purchase prices.

Instead of layelly executing this commission, your firm made certain arrangements with the seller, by your own monitoring, so that all or part of the \$6,000. remained in your bands.

It is evident that this transaction reculted in a hidden profit without which it would not have been undertaken, or if not a profit, at least certain advantages.

In my capacity as principal, I have the right to insist that you render me a complete accounting with supportint proof of the accountion of the order which I had given you and which you had accepted in consideration of the agreed removestica.

This being the case, I ask you for the last time to give me an integral accounting complete and eithout evasions, of your acts as agents, and always besing synalf on your telegram of August 18th, 18th, to let me know the name of the proprietor of the tapastry which to this writing you refused to do.

I year to my registered letter of August 18th, 1948 followed by my letter of August 20th to which I received an answer on September 7th containing my sheeks and an invoice which made it appear that I bought the tapestry from your firm on that fate.

This procedure, in extremis, did not mislead me because the firm of lawyers to shose order I had made out the principal check of 80,000. which you returned to me, set the sace which you indicated to me as being the occasion of the sellers, entrurbed by the latter to negotiate the sale of this tapestry if I did not want to keep it symmetry.

The checks which I sent you have been at your disposal in my files with certain reservations conserming the smaller one, alone your hidden practic in view of the may you handled this tensection, exceeded 2000.

I have been told that there existed in France courts whose legal power exceeded the case of those which reviewed simple conservable disputes. I do not for the moment have whether this also in the case here.

What do you think of thin?

here.
What do you think of this?

Jacques Seligmann & Co. records, General Correspondence: Cassel van Doorn, Baron, 1944-1950
Transcribed and Reviewed by Digital Volunteers
Extracted Apr-19-2024 12:11:53



The mission of the Smithsonian is the increase and diffusion of knowledge - shaping the future by preserving our heritage, discovering new knowledge, and sharing our resources with the world. Founded in 1846, the Smithsonian is the world's largest museum and research complex, consisting of 19 museums and galleries, the National Zoological Park, and nine research facilities. Become an active part of our mission through the Transcription Center. Together, we are discovering secrets hidden deep inside our collections that illuminate our history and our world.

Join us!

The Transcription Center: https://transcription.si.edu
On Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/SmithsonianTranscriptionCenter

On Twitter: @TranscribeSI

Connect with the Smithsonian Smithsonian Institution: www.si.edu

On Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/Smithsonian

On Twitter: @smithsonian