

Archaeological Research in China (Appendices) 1923-1934

Extracted on Mar-29-2024 09:32:55

The Smithsonian Institution thanks all digital volunteers that transcribed and reviewed this material. Your work enriches Smithsonian collections, making them available to anyone with an interest in using them.

The Smithsonian Institution (the "Smithsonian") provides the content on this website (transcription.si.edu), other Smithsonian websites, and third-party sites on which it maintains a presence ("SI Websites") in support of its mission for the "increase and diffusion of knowledge." The Smithsonian invites visitors to use its online content for personal, educational and other non-commercial purposes. By using this website, you accept and agree to abide by the following terms.

- If sharing the material in personal and educational contexts, please cite the Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery as source of the content and the project title as provided at the top of the document. Include the accession number or collection name; when possible, link to the Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery website.
- If you wish to use this material in a for-profit publication, exhibition, or online project, please contact Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery or transcribe@si.edu

For more information on this project and related material, contact the Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery. See this project and other collections in the Smithsonian Transcription Center.

[[underlined]] Appendix III. [[/underlined]] 2.

"southern metals" as they are sometimes called) were gold, silver, and copper. But China has never, to the best of our knowledge, produced much silver; while on the other hand tin seems for every reason much more likely to have been the metal sought along with gold and copper; since without it there could be no bronze.

In this surmise, I am glad to say, I have been confirmed by those Chinese scientists who are not afraid to think for themselves instead of blindly following the "orthodox" commentators.

whatever nationality, who treat of Chinese bronzes have, with a few noteworthy exceptions, either evaded the point or else ignored it entirely

In this absence of help from the literary sources, ancient or modern, however, there still remains a method which will enable us to help solve the problem in another, though less direct, way.

Just as, in taking shoal-water soundings in thick weather, the tallow "arming" the lead serves to bring up with it samples of sea-bottom whose inspection makes it possible for the navigator to infer with fair accuracy the position of his vessel; so in a somewhat similar manner the impurities of various sorts contained in ancient Chinese bronze objects, and which the metal-workers who cast them did not know how, or (perhaps less probably) did not take the trouble, to remove during the process of smelting, will, [[underlined]] once the sample of ore has been matched [[/underlined]], tell us the locality where the metal was mined in the first place. For ores of the same metal from two different regions will not display the same list of impurities, in exactly identical percentages. Ancient Chinese bronze was apparently a three-metal alloy, of copper, tin, and lead, mingled in very irregular proportions; for the results of scarcely any two analyses are alike. We do not know, in fact, whether the ancient Chinese metallurgists, any more than their Roman compeers [[superscript]](2)[[/superscript]], were

(2) Thus Pliny speaks of tin as [[underlined]] plumbum album [[/underlined]], "white lead", and of lead as [[underlined]] plumbum nigrum [[/underlined]], "black lead", respectively.

able to distinguish between tin and lead, or at least between their ores. That combination of one part of tin with nine parts of copper,

Appendix III.

2

"southern metals" as they are sometimes called) were gold, silver, and copper. But China has never, to the best of our knowledge, produced much silver, while on the other hand tim seems for every reason much more likely to have been the metal sought along with gold and copper; since without it there could be no tronze.

more likely to have been the metal sought along with gold and copper; since without it there could be no tronce.

In this surmise, I am glad to say, I have been confirmed by those Chinese scientists who are not afraid to think for themselves instead of blindly following the "orthodox" commentators.

whatever nationality, who treat of Chinese bronzes have, with a few noteworthy exceptions, either evaded the point or else ignored it entirely. In this absence of help from the literary spurces, ancient or madern, however, there still remains a method which will enable us to help solve the problem in another, though less direct, way.

Just as, in taking shoal-mater soundings in thick meather, the tallow "arming" the lead serves to bring up with it samples of sea-botton
whose inspection makes it possible for the navigator to infer with fair
accuracy the position of his vessel; so in a somewhat similar manner the
imparities of various sorts contained in ancient Chinese bronze objects,
and which the metal-markers who cast them did not know how, or (perhaps
less probably) did not take the trouble, to remove during the process of
smelting, will, once the sample of ore has been matched, tell us the locality where the metal was mined in the first place. For ores of the same
metal from two different regions will not display the same list of impurities, in exactly identical percentages.

Ancient Chinese bronze was apparently a three-metal alloy, of copper, tin, and lead, mingled in very irregular proportions; for the results of scarcely any two analyses are alike. We do not know, in fact, whether the ancient Chinese metallurgists, any more than their Roman competes, were

Thus Pliny speaks of times alumbum album, "white lead", and of lead as alumbum nigrom, "black lead", respectively.

able to distinguish between timend lead, or at least between their ores.
That combination of one part of time with nine parts of copper,

Archaeological Research in China (Appendices) 1923-1934 Transcribed and Reviewed by Digital Volunteers Extracted Mar-29-2024 09:32:55



Smithsonian Institution

Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery

The mission of the Smithsonian is the increase and diffusion of knowledge - shaping the future by preserving our heritage, discovering new knowledge, and sharing our resources with the world. Founded in 1846, the Smithsonian is the world's largest museum and research complex, consisting of 19 museums and galleries, the National Zoological Park, and nine research facilities. Become an active part of our mission through the Transcription Center. Together, we are discovering secrets hidden deep inside our collections that illuminate our history and our world.

Join us!

The Transcription Center: https://transcription.si.edu

On Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/SmithsonianTranscriptionCenter

On Twitter: @TranscribeSI

Connect with the Smithsonian Smithsonian Institution: www.si.edu

On Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/Smithsonian

On Twitter: @smithsonian